OCIO Project #: 5225-112		Team I	Member to	o Pro
Department: California Department of Corrections and Rehabili	tat			`
Reporting Period: From: To:	<u> </u>			Mana
Current Task S	ummary			
Task or Deliverable		Scheduled Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Issue
	i		1	
Accomplished this week  Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks				
	Yes/No		Explanation	
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks	Yes/No		Explanation	
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks  Status Summary  Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?  Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?	Yes/No		Explanation	
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks  Status Summary  Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?	Yes/No		Explanation	
Planned/Scheduled Completion in Next Two Weeks  Status Summary  Will all assigned tasks be accomplished by their due date?  Are there any planned tasks that won't be completed?  Are there problems which affect your ability to accomplish assigned	Yes/No		Explanation	

# CA-PMM Pr

Project Name:	Consolidated Infor	mation Technology Infrastructure I				
OCIO Project #:	5225-112		Team Member to Proje			
Department:	California Departm	ent of Corrections and Rehabilitat		Managar		
Reporting Period:	From:	То:		Manager		
	I		İ	1		

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure Project (CITIP)

OCIO Project #: 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat

**Reporting Period:** From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

## **Project Manager to Sponsor**

### **Current Status Report**

Questions	Yes/No	Cause	Impact	Action Required
Were recent milestones completed on schedule?	Yes			
Were any key milestones or deliverables rescheduled?	No			
3. Was work done that was not planned?	No			
4. Were there any changes to scope?	No			
5. Were tasks added that were not originally estimated?	No			
6. Were any tasks or milestones removed?	No			
7. Were any scheduled tasks not started?	Yes	Delays in the procurement process and time to obtain exemptions.	Electrical upgrades to provide power to network switches are delayed at 3 institutions.	Temporary power is being utilized as a mitigation. Procurement staff have worked with vendors to accelerate deliveries.
8. Are there any new major issues?	No			
9. Are there any staffing problems?	No			

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 3 of 10

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure Project (CITIP)

OCIO Project #: 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat

Reporting Period: From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

## **Project Manager to Sponsor**

#### **Look Ahead View**

Questions	Yes/No	Impact	Action Required
Will upcoming critical path milestones or deliverables be delayed?	No		
2. Do any key milestones or deliverables need to be rescheduled?	No		
3. Is there any unplanned work that needs to be done?	Yes	Design and Engineering drawings for network wiring needs to be reviewed by the State Fire Marshall office. Currently no impacts to the schedule and moderate impact to the cost.	The Design and Engineering drawings need to be reviewed and stamped by certified architect and electrical engineer prior to State Fire Marshall approval.
Are there any expected or recommended changes to scope?	Yes	Increased number of Local Area Network drops than originally estimated. No impact to schedule and minimum impact to cost.	Request for additional drops will require stakeholder approvals and change order approval.
5. Are there any tasks not originally estimated that will need to be added?	No		
Are there any tasks or milestones that should be removed from the plan?	No		
7. Are there any scheduled tasks whose start will likely be delayed?	No		
8. Are any major new issues foreseeable?	No		
Are any staffing problems anticipated?	No		

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 4 of 10

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure

Project (CITIP)

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat

**Reporting Period:** From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

### **Project Manager to Sponsor**

#### **Current Status and Accomplishments:**

Describe deliverables completed and milestones met during this reporting period.

- 1) Wiring construction is in progress or completed at all of the 19 institutions
- 2) Wiring installation is completed at 4 of the 19 institutions
- 3) Network switch installations are completed at 2 of the 19 institutions
- 4) Wide Area Network (WAN) upgrades have been accomplished for 38 of the 41 sites; 3 remaining sites awaiting special construction.

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	Cause & Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
BIS/DECS Network Installation	8/30/08	9/19/08	Done		9/19/08
Phase 1 Network Infrastructure - 14 Adult Institutions	6/30/08	4/30/09	Done	Construction crews ran into HazMat while installing wiring at several of the older institutions. Cause a 4-6 month delay due to abatement.	4/30/09
Phase 2 Network Infrastructure - 19 Adult Institutions	6/30/09	10/30/09	Delayed	State Budget Freeze on procurements, hiring, and travel. Resulted in 3 month project delay to develop design and engineering drawings for network fiber, electrical and HVAC.	

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 5 of 10

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure

Project (CITIP)

OCIO Project #: 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat

**Reporting Period:** From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

## **Project Manager to Sponsor**

#### Variances

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	×			
Milestones	Х			
Deliverables	Х			
Resources	×			
OneTime Cost	X			
Continuing Cost	Х			

PM to Sponsor (2) Page 6 of 10

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat **Reporting Period:** From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

## **Sponsor to Executive Committee**

#### **Summary Milestones and Highlights**

#### Project Milestones:

List key milestones and their dates from the project schedule. Explain in issues section if a milestone's status is behind.

Milestone	Target Date	Forecast Date	Status	If Delayed, Impact to Implementation Date	Date Completed
BIS/DECS Network Installation	8/30/08	9/19/08	Done		9/19/08
Phase 1 Network Infrastructure - 14 Adult Institutions	6/30/08	4/30/09	Done	Construction crews ran into HazMat while installing wiring at several of the older institutions. Cause a 4-6 month delay due to abatement.	4/30/09
Phase 2 Network Infrastructure - 19 Adult Institutions	6/30/09	10/30/09	Delayed	State Budget Freeze on procurements, hiring, and travel. Resulted in 3 month project delay to develop design and engineering drawings for network fiber, electrical and HVAC.	

#### **Variances**

Check the appropriate box for each project element listed below. Please describe the actions you plan to take for those items marked "Caution" or "Significant Variance".

Priority of schedule, scope, budget, and quality from Final Ranking established in the Priority Analysis

	On Plan <5%	Caution 5-10%	Significant Variance >10%	Action Required
Schedule	Х			
Milestones	Х			
Deliverables	Х			

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure Project (CITIP)

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat

**Reporting Period:** From: To: 6/1/09 6/30/09

## **Sponsor to Executive Committee**

Resources	Х		
One Time Cost	Х		
Continuing Cost	Х		

### **Monitoring Vital Signs Scorecard**

Vital Sign	Variance	Value	Your Score	Score Justification
	High Degree of Buy-In	0		Project Stakeholder have been very
Customer Buy-In	Medium Degree of Buy-In	1	Gree 0	supportive throughout the project.
1. Gustomer Buy-m	Low Degree of Buy-In	2	gen gen	Bi-weekly Stakeholder Meetings are held to ensure customer buy-in.
	Strong Viability	0	0	The technology and design of the
Technology Viability	Medium Viability	1	Gree 0	network infrastructure allows for
	Weak Viability	2	ä	current and future growth.
	<5%	G	Project schedule has experienced	
3. Status of the Critical Path (delay)	5% to 10%	1	o iree	minor delays of critical path tasks resulting in less than a 5% variance.
	>10%	2	Ď	
4. Cost to Data va. Fatimated Cost	<5%	0	O	Project has consistently been either on budget or slightly under budget.
<ol> <li>Cost-to-Date vs. Estimated Cost- to-Date (higher)</li> </ol>	5% to 10%	1	Gree 0	
to-bate (fligher)	>10%	2	Ď	on budget of slightly under budget.
C. High Duck skills / High Japans	0 to 3	0	O	The number of high impact project
<ol><li>High-Probability, High-Impact Risks</li></ol>	4 to 6	1	Green 0	risks has been minimal and are quickly mitigated to avoid any major
NISKS	>6	2	Ď	impacts.
C. Harranak vad Ianuar	On time	0		Issues are raised to the appropriate
6. Unresolved Issues	Late with no impact	1	Gree 0	level and quickly resolved. The project has been given very good
(on time resolution)	Late impacting the critical path	0		support through the executive management.

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure Project (CITIP)

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat **Reporting Period:** From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

## **Sponsor to Executive Committee**

	Fully engaged	0			The project sponsor is fully engaged	
7. Sponsorship Commitment	Partially engaged	1	Green 0	and committed to the success of the project. Sponsor reviews weekly status and meets with the project		
	Inadequate engagement	2			manager on a regular basis.	
	Strong alignment	0			The project goals and objectives are strongly aligned with the	
8. Strategy Alignment	Partial alignment	1	0	Green	departments overall IT Strategic Plan. The project directly supports	
	Weak or no alignment	2	-	, .	several of the department's strategic goals/objectives.	
	Strong	0			The project directly provides value to the business organization by	
9. Value-to-Business	Medium	1	0	3re	ensuring critical business applications have the network	
	Weak	2			connectivity to support the	
10. Vendor Viability (provide	Strong	0	1 Yello			
rationale for the rating in the field	Medium	1		<b>₩</b>	See comments below	
following the scorecard)	Weak	2	W			
11. Milestone Hit Rate	>90% on time	0		G		
(rate of achievement as planned)	80-90% on time	1	Green O		Project has met over 90% of the project's milestones on time.	
(rate of achievement as planned)	<80% on time	2			project's fillestolles off tille.	
12. Deliverable Hit Rate	>90% on time	0	O		The project team has produced	
(rate of production as planned)	80-90% on time	1	0	Green	several deliverables and has met over 90% of the target dates for	
(rate of production as planned)	<80% on time	2		n	these products.	
13. Actual vs. Planned Resources	>90% assigned and available	0	1 Yellow		The actual resources versus the planned resources for the project	
	80-90% assigned and available	1			have been available for the majority of the scheduled tasks. The State Hiring Freeze, furloughs, and travel	
	<80% assigned and available	2			restrictions have impacted the availability of resources.	

Project Name: Consolidated Information Technology Infrastructure

**OCIO Project #:** 5225-112

**Department:** California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitat **Reporting Period:** From: 6/1/09 To: 6/30/09

## **Sponsor to Executive Committee**

14. Overtime Utilization	<15% 15-25%		0	Gree	The overtime utilization for the project has been well within the
(% of effort that is overtime)	>25%	2		en	planned hours.
	Highly Effective	0	0 0		Score based on Team
15. Team Effectiveness	Moderately Effective	1	0	o o	Effectiveness Survey
	Ineffective	2		ň	Effectiveness Survey
_		Total	2	G	

Green = 0 - 8

Yellow = 9 - 19

Red = 20 +

#### **Vendor Viability Rating Rationale**

The vendors supporting the project are technically capable and for the most part have the resources to meet accomplish their deliverables. The vendors do lack a level of project management and quality control to ensure that products and deliverables meet the requirements and are delivered on schedule. These weakness in Project management and quality assurance cause these vendors to receive a medium rating.